INDEX.

PAGE

Motion for leave to file brief *amicus curiae* 1

Brief of State of Oklahoma as *amiscus curiae* 3

Opinions below 3

14 B. T. A. 1214 (opinion of United States Board of Tax Appeals) 3

50 Fed. (2d) 998, (opinion of Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia) 3

Jurisdiction 3

Section 240 (a), Judicial Code as amended by Act of February 13, 1925 4

Question presented 4

Statutes involved 4

Statement 4

Act of June 16, 1906, Secs. 3, 7, 8, 9 and 22, c. 3335, 34 Stat. 267 ..... 4, 17

Section 32, Art. 6, Constitution of Oklahoma 5, 19

Sections 1, 4 and 5, Art. 13, Constitution of Oklahoma 5, 21

Section 2, Art. 17, Constitution of Oklahoma 5, 19

Sections 9401, 9415-23, 9424-9430, C. O. S. 1921 5, 22

14 B. T. A. 1214 5

The Interest of the State of Oklahoma 6

Point argued 7

Gillespie vs. Oklahoma, 257 U. S. 501 7

Brief and argument 7

Board of Education vs. State, 26 Okla. 366, 370 11

Claybrook vs. City of Owensboro, 23 Fed. 634 .. 12

Cons. School District No. 1 vs. Wright, 128 Okla. 193 11

PAGE

City of New Orleans vs Salmon Brick & Lumber Co., 135 La. 827, 835 ---------- 12
Collector vs. Day, 11 Wall. 113, 124 ---------- 13
Choctaw, Oklahoma & Gulf R. R. Co. vs. Harrison, 235 U. S. 292 ---------- 13
Dobbins vs. Commissioners of Erie County, 16 Pet. 435, 448, 449 ---------- 13
Gillespie vs. State of Oklahoma, 257 U. S. 501 ---------- 7, 10, 13
Howard vs. Gypsy Oil Co., 247 U. S. 503 ---------- 13
Indian Territory Illuminating Oil Co. vs. The State of Oklahoma, 240 U. S. 522 ---------- 10, 13
Jaybird Mining Co. vs. Weir, 271 U. S. 609, 612, 613 ---------- 8, 13
Indian Motocycle Co. vs. United States, 283 U. S. 570, 575 ---------- 13, 14
Large Oil Co. vs. Howard, 248 U. S. 549 ---------- 13
Mills' Oklahoma Indian Land Laws (2nd ed.), Chap. 1, Sec. 5 ---------- 10
Panhandle Oil Co. vs. Mississippi ex rel. Knox, 277 U. S. 218 ---------- 13
School District vs. Zedicker, 4 Okla. 599, 603 ---------- 11
State ex rel. vs. Ross, 76 Okla. 11 ---------- 11
Scown vs. Czarnecki (Ill.), 106 N. E. 276, 279 ---------- 12
Western Union Telegraph Co. vs. Texas, 105 U. S. 460, 466 ---------- 13
Williams vs. City of Talladega, 226 U. S. 404, 418-419 ---------- 13
50 Fed. (2d) 998, 1001, 1002 (opinion of Court of Appeals of District of Columbia in this case) 15

Conclusion ---------- 16

Appendix ---------- 17

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1931.

NUMBER 341

DAVID BURNET, COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE, PETITIONER,

vs.

CORONADO OIL AND GAS COMPANY, RESPONDENT,

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE.

May It Please the Court:

The undersigned, Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma, respectfully moves this Honorable Court for leave to file on behalf of the State of Oklahoma the accompanying brief in this case as *amicus curiae*.

J. BERRY KING,
Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma.

F. M. DUDLEY,
Assistant Attorney General.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 9th day of January, 1932.

EDNA CLARY,

(Seal) Notary Public

My commission expires September 8, 1934.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1931.

Number 341.

DAVID BURNET, COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE, PETITIONER,

vs.

CORONADO OIL AND GAS COMPANY, RESPONDENT.

On Writ of Certiorari to the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia.

BRIEF OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA AS AMICUS CURIAE.

Opinions Below.

The opinion of the United States Board of Tax Appeals (R. 24-52) is reported in 14 B. T. A. 1214. The opinion of the Court of Appeals of the District of Columbia (R. 96-102) is reported in 50 Fed. (2d) 998.

Jurisdiction.

The judgment of the Court of Appeals was entered